## CHAPTER 2

## DEMOGRAPHY

Demography is the study of statistical methods of human population involving primarily the measurements of the size, growth and diminution of the numbers of the people, the proportions of living being born or dying within the same area or region and the related functions of fertility, mortality and marriage" (Cox,1970). Demography presents population data in a systematic manner. Its importance could be gauged from the growing application of population figures in several disciplines of study (Sinha \& Zacharia, 2000).

## A. Demography of Assam and Barpeta

In 2011 census the total population of Assam is $3,11,69,272$. Out of them 1, 59, 54927 are males and 1,52, 14345 are females. In Assam the sex ratio (females per 1000) is 954 . The population density (per square kilometer) is 397 and decadal growth rate is $16.93 \%$. In Assam the total literate population is 19507017 . Out of them 10756937 are males and 8750080 are females. The total literacy rate is $73.18 \%$ and male it is $78.81 \%$ and the female it is $67.28 \%$.

The total population of Barpeta district is $16,93,190$ and out of which $8,67,891$ are males and $8,25,299$ are females. The sex ratio (females per 1000) is 951 and the decadal growth rate is $21.40 \%$. The population density (per square kilometer) is 632 . In Barpeta district total literacy rate is $65.03 \%$ and out of them $70.72 \%$ are males and 59.04\% are females (Census of India, 2011).

Total Population, Decadal growth, Sex Ratio and Density of Population in Assam and Barpeta District (2011)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 窇 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | 2011 | 2011 | 2011 | 2011 |  |
| Assam | 31169272 | 15954927 | 15214345 | 16.93 | 954 | 397 | 73.18\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ 78.81 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Female $67.27 \%$ |
| Barpeta <br> District | 1693190 | 867891 | 825299 | 21.40 | 952 | 632 | 65.03\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ 70.72 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Female } \\ & 59.04 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Sources: Census of India, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## B. Demographic and socio-economic profile of the Kaibartas

The data for the present study have been collected from some areas of Barpeta town namely Issapurhati, Ganakkuchi patharhati, Raitpara, Majormakha, Bhakatpara, Metuwakuchi and Jailroad.

250 males and 250 females aged Kaibartas have been interviewed from 325 families. Here it has been tried to present the demographic and socio-economic background of the people.

Table 2.1
Total number of families and total aged population

| Total No. of family | Aged male | Aged female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 325 | 250 | 250 |

The table 2.1 shows the total number of families and total number of aged population. Altogether 325 families have been visited and from these families 250 aged males and 250 aged females have been selected for the present study.

Table 2.2
Age- sex distribution of the aged

| Age group in years | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |
| $50-54$ | - | - | 95 | 38.0 |
| $55-59$ | 120 | 48.0 | 30 | 12.0 |
| $60-64$ | 50 | 20.0 | 35 | 14.0 |
| $65-69$ | 30 | 12.0 | 25 | 10.0 |
| $70-74$ | 20 | 8.0 | 40 | 16.0 |
| $75+$ | 30 | 12.0 | 25 | 10.0 |
| Total | 250 | 100.0 | 250 | 100.0 |
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The table 2.2 shows the age and sex wise distribution of the aged persons. From the table it is seen that out of 250 aged males, 120 are in the age group of 55-59 years and percentage is 48.0 . Like the males, in the females also it is seen that the highest number (95) of females are in the age group of 50-54 years and the percentage is 38.0 .

Table 2.3
Marital status of the aged persons

| Marital status | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |
| Unmarried | - |  | 06 | 2.4 | 06 | 1.2 |
| Ever Married | 211 | 84.4 | 116 | 46.4 | 327 | 65.4 |
| Widow | - |  | 126 | 50.4 | 126 | 25.2 |
| Widower | 38 | 15.2 | - | - | 38 | 7.6 |
| Divorced | 01 | 0.4 | 02 | 0.8 | 03 | 0.6 |
| Total | 250 | 100 | 250 | 100 | 500 | 100 |



The table 2.3 shows the marital status of the aged persons. In the aged males it is seen that out of 250 males, $211(84.4 \%)$ are ever married, $38(15.2 \%)$ are widower and $1(0.4 \%)$ are divorced. But in the females, $126(50.4 \%)$ are widow, $116(46.4 \%)$ are ever married, $2(0.8 \%)$ are divorced and $6(2.4 \%)$ are never married.

Table 2.4
Educational status of the aged persons

| Education level | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |
| Illiterate | 37 | 14.8 | 115 | 46.0 | 152 | 30.4 |
| L.P (I-IV) | 31 | 12.4 | 67 | 26.8 | 98 | 19.6 |
| M.E (V-VII) | 52 | 20.8 | 32 | 12.8 | 84 | 16.8 |
| Under matric (VIII-X) | 87 | 34.8 | 29 | 11.6 | 116 | 23.2 |
| H.S.Level (XI-XII) | 20 | 8.0 | 04 | 1.6 | 24 | 4.8 |
| College Level | 11 | 4.4 | 03 | 1.2 | 14 | 2.8 |
| BA/BSc | 06 | 2.4 | - | - | 06 | 1.2 |
| University Level | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| MA/MSc | - | - | - |  | - |  |
| Professional course | 06 | 2.4 | - |  | 06 | 1.2 |
| Total | 250 | 100 | 250 | 100 | 500 | 100 |

Fig $2+$ Educational status of the aged persons


The above table 2.4 shows the educational status of the aged persons. From the table it can be said that out of 250 aged males, $14.8 \%$ (37) are illiterate and $85.2 \%$ (213) are literate. Though the percentage of literate person is higher yet most of them are not much educated. Only $2.4 \%$ (6) aged male persons are graduates and $2.4 \%$ (6)
aged males have diploma in professional courses. On the other hand out of 250 aged females $46.0 \%$ (115) are illiterate and $54.0 \%$ (135) are literate. Though the literacy percentage is higher but most of them studied upto high school level (VIII-X). No one is found to complete her graduation.

Table 2.5
Occupation of the aged persons

| Type of occupation | Occupation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |
| In Service | 43 | 17.2 | 13 | 5.2 | 56 | 11.2 |
| Business | 50 | 20.0 | 07 | 2.8 | 57 | 11.4 |
| Fishing | 38 | 15.2 | - | - | 38 | 7.6 |
| Daily-Labour | 28 | 11.2 | 33 | 13.2 | 61 | 12.2 |
| Thela-Rickshaw puller | 12 | 4.8 | - | - | 12 | 2.4 |
| Pensioner | 38 | 15.2 | 41 | 16.4 | 79 | 15.8 |
| Beggar | 14 | 5.6 | 06 | 2.4 | 20 | 4.0 |
| Weaving | - | - | 40 | 16.0 | 40 | 8.0 |
| Dependent/House-wives | 27 | 10.8 | 110 | 44.0 | 137 | 27.4 |
| Total | 250 | 100 | 250 | 100 | 500 | 100 |



Table 2.5 shows distribution of the aged persons according to occupation. In the males it is seen that out of $250,17.2 \%$ are in service; $20.0 \%$ are in business; $15.2 \%$ are fisherman; $11.2 \%$ are daily labourer; $4.8 \%$ are thela-rickshaw puller; $15.2 \%$ are pensioner and $5.6 \%$ are beggars and $10.8 \%$ are totally dependent. In the aged females it is found that $56.0 \%$ are engaged in different types of occupations like
service, business, daily labour, pensioner, begging and weaving and while $44.0 \%$ are house-wives and dependent.

Table 2.6
Monthly income of the aged persons

| Income in rupees <br> (per month) | Male |  |  | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |
| $100-500$ | 10 | 4.0 | 37 | 14.8 |
| $501-1000$ | 15 | 6.0 | 18 | 7.2 |
| $1001-2000$ | 14 | 5.6 | 11 | 4.4 |
| $2001-3000$ | 25 | 10.0 | 15 | 6.0 |
| $3001-4000$ | 25 | 10.0 | 17 | 6.8 |
| $4001-5000$ | 40 | 16.0 | 14 | 5.6 |
| $5000+$ | 94 | 37.6 | 28 | 11.2 |
| Nil | 27 | 10.8 | 110 | 44.0 |
| Total | 250 | 100 | 250 | 100 |

Fig 26 M fonthly income of the aged persons


Income in rupees

The above table (2.6) shows the monthly income of the aged persons. From the table it is seen that out of 250 aged males, $37.6 \%$ (94) have monthly income of more than 5000 /-rupees and in the females the percentage is $11.2 \%$. $10.8 \%$ aged males and $44.0 \%$ aged females have no source of income and they are completely dependent on their sons, daughters or other relatives.

Table 2.7
Aged person and size of the family

| Size of the family | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. | $\%$ |  |  |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |  |  |
| $1-4$ (small size) | 115 | 46.0 | 88 | 35.2 | 203 | 40.6 |
| $5-8$ (medium size) | 112 | 44.8 | 140 | 56.0 | 252 | 50.4 |
| $9+$ (big size) | 23 | 9.2 | 22 | 8.8 | 45 | 9.0 |
| Total | 250 | 100.0 | 250 | 100.0 | 500 | 100.0 |



Table 2.7 shows the distribution of aged males and females according to size of the family. From the table it is seen that most of the people live in medium size families i.e. with $5-8$ persons. $50.4 \%$ aged persons are living in medium size families; $40.6 \%$ are living in small size families with $1-4$ members and $9.0 \%$ are living in big size families with more than nine members. Out of 250 aged male persons $46.0 \%$ are living in small size families, $44.8 \%$ are in medium size and $9.2 \%$ are living in big size families. In the aged females it is seen that most of the women i.e., $56.0 \%$ are living in medium size; $35.2 \%$ women are in small size and $8.8 \%$ women are living in big size families.

Table 2.8
Type of family and aged persons

| Type of Family | Male |  | Female |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ | No. | $\%$ |
| Nuclear Family | 170 | 68.0 | 56 | 22.4 | 226 | 45.2 |
| (i) Living alone | 01 | 0.4 | 03 | 1.2 | 04 | 0.8 |
| (ii) Couple living without children | 02 | 0.8 | 01 | 0.4 | 03 | 0.6 |
| (iii) Living alone with their unmarried <br> child | 17 | 6.8 | 23 | 9.2 | 40 | 8.0 |
| (iv) Couple living with unmarried child | 150 | 60.0 | 29 | 11.6 | 179 | 35.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Joint Family | 80 | 32.0 | 194 | 77.6 | 274 | 54.8 |
| (i) Couple living with their married sons <br> and daughter-in-laws | 45 | 18.0 | 81 | 32.4 | 126 | 25.2 |
| (ii) Couple living with married daughters <br> and son-in-laws | 02 | 0.8 | 04 | 1.6 | 06 | 1.2 |
| (iii) Father/Mother alone with married sons <br> and daughter-in-laws | 29 | 11.6 | 99 | 39.6 | 128 | 25.6 |
| (iv) Father/Mother alone with married <br> daughters and son-in-laws | 04 | 1.6 | 02 | 0.8 | 06 | 1.2 |
| (v) Living alone with other relatives | - | - | 08 | 3.2 | 08 | 1.6 |
| Total | 250 |  | 250 |  | 500 |  |



The above table 2.8 shows the distribution of the aged persons according to the type of family. From the table it is seen that out of 500 aged persons $45.2 \%$ are living in nuclear families and $54.8 \%$ are in joint families. In nuclear families, $0.8 \%$
are living alone; $0.6 \%$ couples are living without any children, $8.0 \%$ single parents are living with their unmarried children and $35.8 \%$ couples are living with their unmarried children.

Again out of 500 aged persons $54.8 \%$ are living in the joint families. In the joint families $25.2 \%$ couples are living with their married sons and daughter in laws; $1.2 \%$ couples are living with their married daughters and son in laws; $25.6 \%$ single parents are living alone with their sons and daughter in laws; $1.2 \%$ are living with their daughters and son in laws and $1.6 \%$ are living with their other relatives.

Table 2.9
Pattern of house

| No. of families | Type of house | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | $\%$ |
| 325 | Assam Type | 15 | 4.6 |
|  | Thatched roofed with kutcha <br> floor | 85 | 43.1 |
|  | Half wall with Kutcha floor | 85 | 26.1 |
|  | Total | 325 | 99.9 |



The table 2.9 shows the distribution of pattern of the houses. From the table it is seen that out of 325 families, $43.1 \%$ families have Assam type houses, $26.1 \%$ have thatched roofed with kutcha floor type, $26.1 \%$ have half wall with kutcha floor type and remaining $4.6 \%$ have R.C.C. type houses.

Table 2.10
Source of drinking water

| Total no. of families | Source of drinking <br> water | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | $\%$ |  |
| 325 | Tube-Well | 141 | 43.4 |
|  | Ring-Well (Kucha) | 115 | 35.4 |
|  | Ring-Well (Pucca) | 30 | 9.2 |
|  | Supply water | 39 | 12.0 |
|  | Total | 325 | 99.9 |



The table 2.10 shows the sources of drinking water of the study areas. From the table it is seen that out of 325 families, $43.4 \%$ have own tube-well, $35.4 \%$ have kutcha ring-well, $9.2 \%$ have pucca ring-well and $12.0 \%$ have provision of municipality supply water for drinking purposes.

Table 2.11
Type of sanitation

| Total No. of families | Type of sanitation | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | $\%$ |
| 325 | Pit | 125 | 38.5 |
|  | Pit | 150 | 46.1 |
|  |  <br> Govt. sponsored) | 50 | 15.4 |
|  | Total | 325 | 100.0 |



The table 2.11 shows the type of sanitations of the population. From the table it is seen that out of 325 families, $38.5 \%$ use open space, $46.1 \%$ use pit type of latrine, and only $15.4 \%$ use sanitary latrine for their natural calls. Some of the families have government sponsored sanitary latrines under the scheme of "Total sanitation campaign".

